



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/436,506	11/09/1999	THOMAS WILLIAM BISH	TU9-99-036	6740
24033	7590 11/24/2003		EXAM	INER
KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP			ALI, MOHAMMAD	
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEVERLY I	BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212		2177	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/436,506	BISH ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad Ali	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a name application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.1	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	s Brief must be filed within the pe					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
9 -	So	hn & Brene				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) JOHN BREENE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Paper No. 15
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Tanaka and Arnon teaches "two storage devices that have same data set and in response to an access request,....." at col. 2, lines 43-46, col. 10, lines 37-44, Tanaka and col. 14, lines 19-26, Arnon.